



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 27 2006

THE ADMINISTRATOR

Dr. Trudy Ann Cameron  
Chair, Advisory Council on Clean Air  
Compliance Analysis  
University of Oregon  
1285 Department of Economics  
Eugene, Oregon 97403-1285

Dear Dr. Cameron:

Thank you for the Council on Clean Air Compliance Analysis's and the Air Quality Modeling Subcommittee's accelerated review of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's white paper on estimating future emissions for stationary non-electricity generating units. I appreciate your willingness to convene this group of experts on short notice. My staff who attended the meeting indicated that your discussions offered EPA particularly good insights on how best to deal with this issue in the future.

Your letter relays the Committee's recommendation of a different approach to estimating future emissions than that outlined in EPA's white paper. Due to court-imposed time constraints, we were not able to adjust our analysis to reflect your approach. However, as you can see from the Regulatory Impact Analysis which accompanies the revised National Ambient Air Quality Standard for particulate matter, we included a sensitivity analysis for selected industrial sectors. This adjustment provides results for an approach similar to the one you recommended. Your advice assisted EPA in explaining to the American public the implications of our approach and what would happen if a different assumption was adopted.

As the Agency staff indicated at your meeting, we are planning to undertake an extensive assessment of this issue in the future. We plan to seek additional advice and assistance from you in dealing with this and other important issues.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, which appears to read "S. L. Johnson", is written over the typed name.

Stephen L. Johnson



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 27 2006

THE ADMINISTRATOR

Dr. David T. Allen  
Chair, Air Quality Modeling Subcommittee  
Advisory Council on Clean Air Compliance Analysis  
The University of Texas at Austin  
Department of Chemical Engineering  
College of Engineering  
1 University Station C0400  
Austin, Texas 78712

Dear Dr. Allen:

Thank you for the Council on Clean Air Compliance Analysis's and the Air Quality Modeling Subcommittee's accelerated review of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's white paper on estimating future emissions for stationary non-electricity generating units. I appreciate your willingness to convene this group of experts on short notice. My staff who attended the meeting indicated that your discussions offered EPA particularly good insights on how best to deal with this issue in the future.

Your letter relays the Committee's recommendation of a different approach to estimating future emissions than that outlined in EPA's white paper. Due to court-imposed time constraints, we were not able to adjust our analysis to reflect your approach. However, as you can see from the Regulatory Impact Analysis which accompanies the revised National Ambient Air Quality Standard for particulate matter, we included a sensitivity analysis for selected industrial sectors. This adjustment provides results for an approach similar to the one you recommended. Your advice assisted EPA in explaining to the American public the implications of our approach and what would happen if a different assumption was adopted.

As the Agency staff indicated at your meeting, we are planning to undertake an extensive assessment of this issue in the future. We plan to seek additional advice and assistance from you in dealing with this and other important issues.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, which appears to read "S. L. Johnson", is written over the typed name "Stephen L. Johnson".

Stephen L. Johnson