

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

JUL 3 0 2010

THE ADMINISTRATOR

James K. Hammitt, Ph.D.
Chairman
Advisory Council on Clean Air
Compliance Analysis
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20460

Dear Dr. Hammitt:

Thank you for your June 16, 2010, letters and review reports providing advice for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's second prospective Clean Air Act Section 812 report to the U.S. Congress. Members of the Advisory Council's Health Effects Subcommittee, Ecological Effects Subcommittee and Air Quality Modeling Subcommittee offered balanced and thoughtful reviews of the EPA's assessment of the ecological, human-health and air-quality effects of the 1990 Clean Air Act programs.

Please know that I value the advice and assistance provided by the Advisory Council and its subcommittees and look forward to additional contributions from the Advisory Council as the study progresses through its remaining phases. The Health Effects Subcommittee's recommendations are notably constructive, with innovative yet practical options for resolving some of the most important analytical challenges faced by the project team.

Furthermore, the Air Quality Modeling Subcommittee was particularly helpful in its accommodation of late-breaking but important adjustments in modeling work, and its recommendations were both technically rigorous and practical. I also appreciate the Advisory Council's views on the importance of ecosystems improvements achieved by the Clean Air Act and its support of the EPA's ongoing efforts to improve assessments of these benefits.

On behalf of the EPA, I look forward to continuing our productive and cooperative working relationship as we move toward completion of the current Section 812 study of the Clean Air Act.

Sincerely,

Lisa P. Jackson



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

JUL 3 0 2010

THE ADMINISTRATOR

John Bailar, Ph.D. Chairman Health Effects Subcommittee U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20460

Dear Dr. Bailar:

Thank you for your June 16, 2010, letters and review reports providing advice for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's second prospective Clean Air Act Section 812 report to the U.S. Congress. Members of the Advisory Council's Health Effects Subcommittee, Ecological Effects Subcommittee and Air Quality Modeling Subcommittee offered balanced and thoughtful reviews of the EPA's assessment of the ecological, human-health and air-quality effects of the 1990 Clean Air Act programs.

Please know that I value the advice and assistance provided by the Advisory Council and its subcommittees and look forward to additional contributions from the Advisory Council as the study progresses through its remaining phases. The Health Effects Subcommittee's recommendations are notably constructive, with innovative yet practical options for resolving some of the most important analytical challenges faced by the project team.

Furthermore, the Air Quality Modeling Subcommittee was particularly helpful in its accommodation of late-breaking but important adjustments in modeling work, and its recommendations were both technically rigorous and practical. I also appreciate the Advisory Council's views on the importance of ecosystems improvements achieved by the Clean Air Act and its support of the EPA's ongoing efforts to improve assessments of these benefits.

On behalf of the EPA, I look forward to continuing our productive and cooperative working relationship as we move toward completion of the current Section 812 study of the Clean Air Act.

Sincerely,

Lisa P. Jackson